RESEARCH ON HYDROSTATIC WIND TURBINES

Biswaranjan Mohanty Prof. Kim A. Stelson Department of Mechanical Engineering







Wind statistics

- Fastest growing new energy source
- 540 GW by 2017, 5% of the global electricity demand
- 90 GW by 2018, 6% of the U.S. electricity demand
- DOE set goal of 20% of U.S. energy from wind by 2030
- Distributed wind turbines (<1 Mw) are an attractive but under recognized means to meet this goal



American Wind Energy Association | U.S. Wind Industry Second Quarter 2018 Market Report | Public Version





Conventional wind turbine





Two-Stage Planetary with One-Stage Parallel Shaft		
Power:	2.3 - 2.9 MW @ 14 - 16 RPM input speed	
Input Torque:	1500 - 1920 kNm	
Ratio:	78:1 - 136:1	
Output Shaft Type/ Location:	Horizontal output shaft located at a 550 mm centerline distance	
Approx. Weight:	21,100 kg (46,500 lbs)	
Overall Length:	2550 mm	

- Two or three stages of planetary or parallel shaft gear train
- Three actuators: yaw motor, pitch motor & generator
- Synchronous or asynchronous generator



Conventional wind turbine



Failure frequency and downtimes of components

 Studies show the major components contributing to low reliability and increased downtime of turbines are found to be the gearbox, generator and the drive train.*



Turbine Cost (Onshore Turbine)





Turbine Cost (Offshore Turbine)





Potential of HST wind turbine



Conventional gearbox turbine

Performance Objective

- Maximize power capture
- Minimize loads
- Reduce downtime
- Reduce maintenance cost

Hydrostatic transmission (HST)

- Simple system structure
- Continuous variable transmission ratio
- No need of power converter
- All power transmitted through a fluid link; hence less stiff
- Improves reliability and reduce cost



HST wind turbines

- 1. Windera Power System (Florida)
- 2. WindSmart (Canada)
- 3. Mitsubishi Heavy Industries

Inside the nacelle of SeaAngel™

Digital Displacement® pump (low-speed ring cam pump) Digital Displacement® motors (high speed) Synchronous generators





Mitsubishi 7MW Sea Angel offshore turbine Core technology: Digital displacement technology by Artemis

93.5% peak efficiency from shaft-to-shaft compared to 92% peak efficiency for conventional wind turbine gearbox.

Aachen University IFAS 1 MW HST wind power test stand





Distributed wind opportunity

Distributed wind (<1 MW):

Community wind - cost-effective for farms, communities, factories and rural electric cooperatives.

Relatively easy permitting process

➢Mid-size turbines can operated in local niches, eliminating the need for costly electric power transmission upgrades.

Distributed wind makes the power grid more stable and reliable.

- Few midsize turbines in the market today
- Commercially hydrostatic units are available in required size.



Community wind



Our Goals

Develop a controller for the HST wind turbine

- o Dynamic Modeling
- Controller Design
- Demonstrate and validate the performance of the HST wind turbine
 - Power regenerative HST wind turbine
 - New components and fluid performance

Model interaction of the HST wind turbine with the grid

Understand the effect of unsteady wind over pitching blade



Turbine Control



Rotor power coefficient (Cp) is the fraction of wind power captured by the rotor:

$$C_P = \frac{P_r}{P_w} = C_P(\lambda, \beta)$$

Rotor tip speed ratio:

$$\lambda = \frac{\omega_r R}{u}$$

According to Betz Law, the maximum energy that can be captured by the rotor is 59.3% of the kinetic energy of the wind



Region 2 Control (Existing)

- Objective: Maximize power captured
- Strategy: Constant pitch angle β and use τ_g to operate turbine at optimum point

Torque control law - control rotor reaction torque:

$$\tau_g = \tau_c = K \omega_r^2$$

where the gain K is given by blade parameters.

$$K = \frac{1}{2} \rho A R^3 \frac{C_{pmax}}{\lambda_*^3}$$

Dynamics of the rotor

$$\dot{\omega}_r = \frac{1}{2J} \rho A R^3 \omega_r^2 \left(\frac{C_p}{\lambda^3} - \frac{C_{pmax}}{\lambda_*^3}\right)$$

>The beauty of the $k\omega^2$ law: bring the turbine to optimal point only with rotor speed and it **does not** require wind speed information.





HST turbine control in region 2



The relationship between the pump torque command and the line pressure command:

$$p_c = \tau_c \cdot \frac{\eta_p}{D_p}$$

where η_p is the pump mechanical efficiency.

To give accurate control, the pump mechanical efficiency is estimated by previewing the pump efficiency map from the historical rotor speed and line pressure data.

Control strategy

- 1. Use rotor speed to generate rotor reaction torque (pump torque) command ($k\omega^2$ law)
- 2. Convert pump torque command to line pressure command
- 3. Track the line pressure by adjusting motor displacement through PI controller



Power regenerative test platform



> To Investigate the performance of hydrostatic transmission

To test the advanced control algorithm

- 1. Capable of simulating a turbine output power of 100 kW
- 2. Small electric motor (55kW) to compensate for losses in the components



Power regenerative test platform





Controller Design

High Level: Grid frequency is simulated by an electric motor and a variable frequency drive

Mid Level: HIL simulator is used to simulate rotor torque from wind data and rotor speed. The rotor torque is then emulated by controlling swash angle of HSD pump.

Low Level: Swash plate of the HST motor is controlled to optimize the power capture.

➤ The power from drivetrain is fed to the test platform to drive HSD pump along with electric motor.





Controller Design: Start up

Particular attention is required to avoid cavitation and pressure spikes during start and stop cycle for smooth operation and safety of the testbed.

Start cycle: HS shaft speed is brought to synchronous speed

➤ Test cycle: Mid and low level controllers are design to regulate the HSD pressure to emulate the rotor torque from wind input and HST pressure to optimize the power capture.

Stop cycle: High speed shaft speed is brought to rest from synchronous speed.





Modeling of the test platform



High Speed Shaft Dynamics:

$$\dot{\omega}_s = \frac{1}{J_s} \left[-b_s \omega_s + \alpha D_m P + \tau_e - \chi D_{pd} P_d \right]$$

HSD Pressure Dynamics:

$$\dot{P_d} = \frac{\beta_{ed}}{V_d} \left[\chi D_{pd} \omega_s - D_{md} \omega_r - Q_{ld} \right]$$
$$\frac{1}{\beta_{ed}} = \frac{1}{\beta_f} + \frac{1}{\beta_h} + \frac{s}{1.4P_d}$$

Low Speed Shaft Dynamics: $\dot{\omega}_{r} = \frac{1}{J_{r}} \left[-\frac{b_{r}}{\omega_{r}} + D_{md}P_{d} - D_{p}P \right]$

$\frac{\text{HST Pressure Dynamics:}}{\dot{P} = \frac{\beta_e}{V} \left[D_p \omega_r - \alpha D_m \omega_s - Q_{lt} \right]}$



> Control Input: Swashplate angle: α , χ , τ_e

- **States:** ω_r , P_d , P, ω_s
- Unknown parameters:

Leakage: Q_{ld} , Q_{lt} Viscous damping: b_r

Parameter Identification : Leakage Loss

Leakage loss of the radial piston HSD motor and HST pump are negligible.

Internal flow losses through cylinder-piston gap and port plate gap.

Leakage flow increases with pressure and decreases with swash plate angle.

Flow losses are modeled as a linear proportional to the pressure.

Loss coefficient for the HSD pump is 0.049 lpm/bar.

Loss coefficient for the HST motor is 0.010 lpm/bar.

$$\dot{P_d} = \frac{\beta_{ed}}{V_d} \left[\chi D_{pd} \omega_s - D_{md} \omega_r - Q_{ld} \right]$$
$$Q_{ld} = \chi D_{pd} \omega_s - Q_{out} = L_d P_d$$



Parameter Identification : Viscous Loss

Viscous friction is directly proportional to rotor speed.

> At steady state (constant ω_r), viscous loss is computed from rotor speed, HST and HSD pressure measurement.

➢Viscous damping coefficient is computed from the slope, which is 38.9324 Nm-sec.



$$\dot{\omega}_r = \frac{1}{J_r} \left[-\frac{b_r \omega_r}{D_m \omega_r} + D_{md} P_d - D_p P \right]$$





Experimental Validation

- Experimental set up:
- HS Shaft Speed (ω_s):=1000 rpm
- HST Pressure (P) = 100 bar
- HSD Swash angle (α): Step of 4-6-7-6-4 volts

➢ At steady state, experimental results matches with simulation with maximum steady state error is 2 RPM.

In transient case, the experimental data has slower response than the simulation.

Because, swash plate dynamics is not included in simulation.





Model interaction of the HST with the grid



- Frequency and current of the rotor of the generator are controlled to maximize the power
- Slip ring with compromised reliability
- Power electronics interfaces in such topologies are around 30% of the turbine power

- Elimination of slip ring, even gear box
- More stressed and expensive power electronics
- Power-electronics interface has to be rated at the same power level of the turbine power





Model interaction of the HST with the grid



Synchronous Generator Dynamics

$$\dot{\delta} = \omega_g - \omega_s$$
$$\dot{\omega}_g = \frac{\omega_s}{2H} \left[P_m - P_e - D_g \left(\omega_g - \omega_s \right) \right]$$
$$\dot{E}'_q = \frac{1}{T'_{do}} \left[\frac{E_{fd}}{E_{fd}} - E'_q - (X_d - X'_d) I_d \right]$$
$$\dot{E}'_d = \frac{1}{T'_{qo}} \left[-E'_d + (X_q - X'_q) I_q \right]$$



Model interaction of the HST with the grid

- The electrical power increases with increase in the wind speed.
- The compressibility of the fluid attenuates the effect of the wind gust transients and allows the generator speed to operate at synchronous speed.
- The AVR controls the field voltage of the generator to produce a constant terminal voltage.
- The frequency deviation is well under the regulation.

Input	Generator Freq (Hz)	Terminal Voltage (pu)
Step Up	0.047	0.09
Step Down	0.095	0.18
Wind Gust	0	0.11





Effect of Unsteady Wind over Pitching Blade

> Fluid mechanics of unsteady flow with variable pitch is not well understood

 \succ Combined effect will be studied to design an improved controller to capture more energy from the wind.

 \geq A multivariable controller with combined pitch and torque control will be investigated.





Dynamic Model for the Unsteady Wind

Initial Experiment Design

- > Static airfoil with steady flow
- Static airfoil with unsteady flow
- Dynamic pitching of the airfoil with steady flow
- Dynamic pitching of the airfoil with unsteady flow

CFD + Experimental Verification

Analyzing both numerical and real data for obtaining reliable results.

System Identification

Using the gathered data and system identification tools for defining a dynamic model of the wind based on lift, drag and moments.





CFD Simulation Results (Dynamic Pitching of an Airfoil under Steady Wind)



- ➢ 50% lift coefficient improvement at 19 degrees under specific conditions. Higher improvements should be possible to achieve.
- Drag coefficient barely changes.

Conclusions

 \geq A unique power regenerative test platform has been built at the University of Minnesota to demonstrate and validate the performance of the HST. It also allows us to test different components and fluids.

> The high fidelity dynamic model closely matches the experiment data.

 \succ The dynamic model will help us develop more efficient and robust controllers.

> Midsize wind is a great opportunity to increase wind resources while preserving stability and reliability of the grid.

> Wind tunnel studies are underway to develop a model of combined unsteady wind and pitch.

 \geq An HST transmission is a variable ratio, reliable, and cost effective alternative to a fixed ratio mechanical gearbox.





